TOWN OF WESTON, CONNECTICUT

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING VIA ZOOM

October 27, 2020

MINUTES

Present: Board Members: Chairman, Don Scarborough, Vice-Chairman, Glenn van Deusen, Macleod Snaith, Dan Gilbert, Ilene Richardson, and Alternates, John Moran, James Low and Megan Loucas

Also: Tracy Kulikowski, Land Use Director, Jim Pjura, Code Enforcement Officer, Town Attorney Bamonte \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Chairman Scarborough opened the hearing at 7:47 p.m. and Ms. Kulikowski read the legal notice into the record.

**96 GEORGETOWN ROAD**, owners, **THREE K’S LLC & NICHOLAS KLOKUS**, Map 3, Block 1, Lot 27. Appeal of the Code Enforcement Officer’s issuance of a Certificate of Zoning Compliance on September 10, 2020 for 100 Georgetown Road

Ms. Kulikowski noted that the appellant has requested a continuance to the November meeting.

Attorney Bamonte suggested considering the request for continuance, as not granting that could create an appealable issue. He stated that it would be prudent to consider the appellant’s request for a continuance be put out two weeks. Attorney Williams, representing Arctic Construction, stated that they object to a continuance for a variety of reasons. He explained that a continuance would be prejudicial to the owner as there is a lawsuit pending between his client and 3K’s LLC about an easement they claimed to have. There is a long history between the applicant and his client asked the Board to consider that this continuance request and submitting things at the last minute are being used as a delay tactic. Attorney Williams also noted that they already lost one buyer and they have another buyer but there is a contingency that if this appeal is not resolved by November 22, the buyer can get out of the contract. He stated that he would not have a problem granting a continuance if this was the first time this happened, but there have been multiple instances of the appellant not showing up for an intentional force of continuance. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Snaith commented that they don’t know what the particular issue is and Ms. Richardson agreed stating that there is not a clear indication exactly what the issue is so that the Board can address it. Discussion continued on whether to continue the meeting and to when.

Following discussion, the following motions were made:

MOTION:

Mr. van Deusen made a motion to continue the Public Hearing to November 10, 2020 and Ms. Richardson seconded. All in favor, the motion carried (5-0).

MOTION:

Mr. Scarborough made a motion to continue the Public Hearing to November 12, 2020 and Ms. Richardson seconded. All in favor, the motion carried (5-0).

MOTION:

Mr. Scarborough made a motion to have the deadline for the appellant’s documents be November 9, 2020 and Mr. van Deusen seconded. All in favor, the motion carried (5-0).

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. van Deusen made a motion to approve the Minutes from September 29, 2020, as amended, and Mr. Snaith seconded. All in favor, the motion carried (7-0).

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. Scarborough made a motion to adjourn the meeting and Mr. Snaith seconded. All in favor, the meeting adjourned at 8:38 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Delana Lustberg

Board Secretary

Date Approved: