### Board of Finance Special Meeting Agenda

August 20, 2020 at 6:00 pm (via remote conference)

To join by internet: meet.google.com/rwn-zvoa-kwj

<u>Join by phone</u>

(US) +1 650-817-8403 PIN: 467 223 888#

- 1- Discussion regarding the Board of Education final financial estimates for FY 2019-20, and school reopening plans and estimated costs. Finance Director Phil Cross and Superintendent Dr.
- 2- Discussion regarding an update on the reopening of Town facilities and estimated costs. Jonathan Luiz, Town Administrator.
- 3- Discussion regarding an initial report on approved state police reform legislation including potential costs and liability for the Town. Jonathan Luiz, Town Administrator.
- 4- Discussion regarding a report on first installment tax collections for FY 2020-21. Rick Darling, Finance Director.
- 5- Discussion regarding the FY 2019-20 financial report and estimated fund balance. Rick Darling, Finance Director.
- 6- Discussion of Five Year Forecast.

William McKersie.

7- Discussion/decision regarding approval of minutes from the June 11<sup>th</sup> regular meeting.

**Item 1:** Discussion regarding the Board of Education final financial estimates for FY 2019-20, and school reopening plans and estimated costs.

Finance Director Phil Cross and Superintendent Dr. William McKersie.

**Item 2:** Discussion regarding an update on the reopening of Town facilities and estimated costs.

Jonathan Luiz, Town Administrator.

TO: Weston Board of Selectmen & Weston Board of Finance

FROM: Jonathan Luiz, Town Administrator

DATE: August 19, 2020

SUBJECT: Estimated FY 20-21 costs related to Covid-19

The purpose of this memo is to twofold. First, it is to inform you of the foreseeable costs that the Weston municipal government projects that it will incur in Fiscal Year 20-21 due to Covid-19. Second, it is to propose how those costs will be covered without a supplemental appropriation.

At this time, I project that the municipal government will incur Covid-19 related costs totaling \$41,759. Exhibit A summarizes the expenses. They include the purchase of personal protective equipment (PPE), cleaning products, sanitizing products, and vehicle modifications for the Dial-A-Ride van. Note that these are only municipal government expenses and are not school expenses. Also, please be aware that the \$41,759 does not include any costs associated with special facility cleanings, special facility equipment and extra staff. At this time, I do not foresee the need to purchase those items and services.

The aforementioned \$41,759 of Covid-19 related expenses would be completely covered by existing funding in the General Administration section of the FY 20-21 municipal government budget. That budget contains a total of \$377,754 for Workers Compensation Insurance and Property Casualty Insurance. As a result of a competitive bid process culminating late June, the Town was able to reduce its expenses in these areas by \$41,882. See the chart below and Exhibit B for details.

Budgeted	Property Casualty Insurance: Includes premiums paid to the carriers and fees paid to the broker/risk manager.	\$163,834
Actual	Property Casualty Insurance: Includes premiums paid to the carriers and fees paid to the broker/risk manager.	\$160,593
Savings		\$3,241

Budgeted	Workers Compensation Insurance: Includes premiums paid to the	\$213,920
	carrier and fees paid to the broker/risk manager.	
Actual	Workers Compensation Insurance: Includes premiums paid to the carrier and fees paid to the broker/risk manager.	\$175,279
Savings		\$38,641

Total Savings	Property Casualty Insurance AND Workers Compensation Insurance	\$41,882
---------------	--	----------

I welcome your comments and questions about the expenses, the savings in the insurance line items, and the proposed funding arrangement.

# **Exhibit A**

Van TOTAL																			\$4,000.00; \$41,759.00	
Dial-A-Ride Van Air Filtration																			\$4,00	
Plexiglass																			\$300.00	
Masks - disposable	2	2	2						æ	2	2				2	2	15		\$4,188.00	
Sanitizer Gallon Refill							н	м	н						2		ø	x 2	\$2,940.00	
Shoe	ed.		-	н							п				10		14		\$1,020.00	
Face			2						m						10	v	18		\$648.00	
Disposable Gowns			S												25	12	42	200	\$2,922.00	
Sanitizer Stand Refills		16				2			2								70		\$3,600.00	
Sanitizer Wall Unit									1								ı		\$236.00	
Sanitizer Stands		4															4		95.555	
Sanitizer (pump bottles)	4	4	2	1	m	4	2	1	4	2	2	m	e	2	10	00	SS		\$2,963.00	
Wipes (Canister)	2	00	2	1	2	8	4	1	e	2	2	m	m	2	Ŋ	17	9		\$4,125.00	
Disinfectant Spray	1	9	2		1	æ	1	1	2	1	1	S	3	4	80	9	45		\$3,846.00	
Gloves (Box)	2	4	2		1	2	2	.,	1	1	1	2			10	4	33	00000	\$5,761.00	
Masks (Reusable)	ø	15	9	m	6	15	9	m	12	9	9	12	18	36	15	42	210		\$5,210.00	
Department	Tax Assessor	Senior Center	Social Services	Fire Marshal	Finance Dept	Parks and Recreation	Tax Collector	Selectman's Office	Land Use	Youth Services	Building Dept	Town Clerk	Dispatch	Public Works	Police Department	Library	TOTAL		1 year cost	

# Exhibit B page 1

					/2021
		Percentage A	llocation and P	remium for LA	P
LINES OF COVERAGE	Town	BOE	Vol Fire	EMS	Total
Property – CIRMA	12%	87%	1%	0%	100%
Froperty – Cikiwa	\$12,126	\$87,916	\$1,011		\$101,05
General Liability – CIRMA	53%	36%	8%	3%	100%
General Elability – CIRIVIA	\$30,943	\$21,018	\$4,671	\$1,751	\$58,38
Automobile – CIRMA	74%	19%	7%	3%	100%
Automobile – CikiviA	\$35,830	\$9,620	\$3,531	\$1,515	\$50,49
Dublic Officials CIDNAA	91%	0%	6%	3%	100%
Public Officials – CIRMA	\$6,249	<u> </u>	\$400	\$225	\$6,87
C.I. III III CIDAAA	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
School Leaders Liability – CIRMA		\$15,518			\$15,518
	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Law Enforcement Liability – CIRMA	\$20,643				\$20,643
	41.82	53%	3.6%	1.38%	100%
TOTAL CIRMA LAP (Direct Billed):	\$105,791	\$134,072	\$9,613	\$3,491	\$252,96
	The state of the s	ge Allocation ar			
Workers' Compensation – CIRMA (Direct	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Billed)	\$175,279	\$175,279	reed, Act,		\$350,558
	A THE PARTY OF THE	ercentage Alloca	ition and Prem	iums for Umbr	
Primary Umbrella/\$10M – Berkeley	40%	50%	7%	3%	100%
Insurance	\$19,442	\$24,303	\$3,402	\$1,458	\$48,605
Excess Umbrella/\$15M – MNIC & American	40%	50%	7%	3%	100%
Alternative	\$16,045	\$20,057	\$2,808	\$1,203	\$40,113
		entage Allocation			
	100%	-	4	<u> </u>	100%
Fiduciary – Travelers	\$2,575			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	\$2,575
	100%	-	:-	_	72,575
Bond for Tax Collector – Hartford	\$1,225			CENTRE CONTENT	\$1,225
Fidelity – Hanover	14%	86%	0%	0%	100%
idelity – Hanover	\$573	\$3,519		070	\$4,092
Medical Advisor Professional Liability –	7575	100%	·	-	100%
Landmark America		\$4,690			\$4,690
UST Pollution Excess – ACE - 5 Year Policy	15%	85%	_		100%
(Expires 7/1/2021) (Direct Billed)	\$970	\$5,499			\$6,469
Empired // 1/2021/ (Birect Bired)	50%	50%		STATUM STUDY	100%
Cyber Liability – Crum & Forster	\$2,500	\$2,500			\$5,000
			E0/	le law see	100%
Pollution Liability – Navigators Specialty	72%	22%	6%		
Student Assident Incomes OPS In-	\$4,752	\$1,452	\$396		\$6,600
Student Accident Insurance – QBE Ins.	•	\$16,650			\$16,650
			Allocation and		
_ ,	48%	42%	7%	3%	100%
Brokerage Fee					444 000
Brokerage Fee	\$6,720	\$5,880	\$980	\$420	\$14,000

\$ 24K less than 19-20

6

# Exhibit B Page 2

				/1/2019 - 7/1/	
		Percentage Al	llocation and P	remium for LA	Р
LINES OF COVERAGE	Town	BOE	Vol Fire	EMS	Total
Decorporate	15%	84%	1%	0%	100%
Property	\$15,451	\$86,525	\$1,030	N/A	\$103,006
Canaval Liability	54%	35.053%	7.95%	2.997%	1,00%
General Liability	\$32,136	\$20,860	\$4,731	\$1,784	\$59,513
Automobile	74%	16%	7%	3%	100%
Automobile	\$38,089	\$8,235	\$3,603	\$1,544	\$51,471
Dublic Officials	91%	0%	6%	3%	100%
Public Officials	\$6,376		\$420	\$210	\$7,006
Cohool to a de un 15-1-114.	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
School Leaders Liability	-	\$15,818	4	-	\$15,818
1 5 . 6	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Law Enforcement Liability	\$21,042	-	13 3 1	May William	\$21,042
	41.525%	53%	4%	1.4745%	100%
TOTAL CIRMA LAP:	\$113,094	\$131,438	\$9,784	\$3,538	\$257,854
		ge Allocation an			
W. 1. 4.6	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Workers' Compensation – CIRMA	\$200,400	\$200,400	-		\$400,800
		rcentage Alloca	tion and Prem	iums for Umbr	
Primary Umbrella/\$10M - Berkeley	40%	50%	7%	3%	100%
Insurance	\$18,392	\$22,989	\$3,219	\$1,379	\$45,979
	40%	50%	7%	3%	100%
Excess Umbrella/\$15M – National Surety	\$10,608	\$13,260	\$1,856	\$796	\$26,520
V			Allocation an		720,000
	100%	-	-	-	100%
Fiduciary – Travelers	\$2,555	_			\$2,555
	100%	-	<del>22</del> 6	+	42,000
Bond for Tax Collector – Hartford	\$1,225				\$1,225
Fidelity – Hanover – 3 Year Policy	14%	86%	0%	0%	100%
Expires 7/1/2020)	\$535	\$3,289	-	-	\$3,824
Medical Advisor Professional Liability –	-	100%	-		100%
andmark America	-	\$4,600	•		\$4,600
JST Pollution Excess – ACE - 5 Year Policy	15%	85%		-	100%
Expires 7/1/2021)	\$970	\$5,499	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		\$6,469
	50%	50%			100%
Non-Shared Limit Cyber – Crum & Forster	\$1,000	\$1,000			\$2,000
Von-Shared Limit Pollution – Navigators	72%	22%	6%		
pecialty	\$4,320	\$1,320	\$360		100%
r,	المحرية ب			Cost for Cook	\$6,000
	48%	42%	llocation and		4.000/
Brokerage Fee			7%	3%	100%
	\$6,720	\$5,880	\$980	\$420	\$14,000

Item 3: Discussion regarding an initial report on approved state police reform legislation including potential costs and liability for the Town.

Jonathan Luiz, Town Administrator.



On July 24<sup>th</sup> the House of Representatives passed HB 6004, <u>An Act Concerning Police Accountability</u>. The proposal has been modified from earlier versions, especially in regards to government immunity. The bill has 45 sections with approximately 27 varying proposals that address police officer training, oversight, actions in the field, equipment and liability.

This document (1) provides an overview of some of the important sections that are relatable to municipalities, and (2) a series of answers to frequently asked questions regarding the bill.

### HB 6004 Summary:

- Sections 1-4, and 15: Modifies the POST Council membership, authority and requires them to enact new policies (ex. implicit bias training, managing crowds, mental health assessment policies, etc.). The additional changes associated with POST include:
  - o Requires police officers to pass a drug test as a condition of renewing their certification (which must be done every three years). This would include testing for anabolic steroids.
  - O Allowing the Council to cancel or revoke an officer's certification for conduct undermining public confidence in law enforcement, including (1) discriminatory conduct, (2) falsifying reports, (3) racial profiling in violation of state law, or (4) used excessive force or physical force found to be unjustified after investigation. (Current law already allows revocation of certification for improper use of a firearm that result in death or serious bodily injury)
  - Permits POST to suspend an officer's certification for up to 45 days and censure the officer upon any of the grounds that could lead to cancellation or revocation.
  - o Modifies the membership of POST to include additional members.
- Sections 10 and 11: Requires each law enforcement agency in a municipality that serves a "relatively high concentration of minority residents" to develop a report regarding efforts to recruit, retain and promote minority police officers.
- **Sections 3, 15-16**: Requires police officers to undergo mental health assessments every five years:
  - o The assessments must be conducted by a board-certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist that has experience diagnosing and treating PTSD.
  - Allows law enforcement administration to stagger the scheduling of police officer assessments of an entire department to ensure at least 25% are conducted each year over a five-year period.
  - Allows law enforcement administration, for good cause and in writing, to require additional mental health assessments of an officer. The officer would need to comply within 30 days.

- The results of any assessment would be provided to both the law enforcement administration and police officer.
- o Requires POST and DESPP to create policies which will examine, among other things, the fiscal implications of such assessments as well as permissible personnel actions, if any, that law enforcement units may take based on the assessments' results, all while considering the officers' due process rights. (The full list can be found in the FAQ section).
- Section 17: Allows the creation of a police civilian review board within a municipality and provides them with specific authority.
- Section 18: Requires each municipal police department to evaluate the feasibility and impact of using social workers for the purpose of remotely responding to particular calls, or accompanying officers on certain calls where their assistance may be needed.
- Sections 19-20 and 45: By July 1, 2022 bill requires all police officers and agencies to deploy and use body and vehicle dash cameras. Specifically, the bill:
  - o Extends policies and provisions regarding body camera usage and footage to dashboard cameras.
  - Requires POST and DESPP to create policies regarding the usage of body cameras for sensitive law enforcement work, such as detective and undercover activities.
  - o Prohibits policies and guidelines on retaining body and dashboard camera data in storage for longer than a year except, in cases where units know the data is pertinent to any ongoing civil, criminal, or administrative matter.
  - Requires OPM to administer a grant program for FY21 and FY22 to fund up to 50% for distressed municipalities and 30% for other municipalities, the cost of purchases of equipment, devices and/or one-year of digital data storage services for municipalities. The State would utilize \$4 million in general obligation bonds to fund the program.
- Section 29: Modifies the law regarding excessive use of force by narrowing the circumstances which an officer is justified in using deadly physical force. In particular, establishes two new factors to consider when evaluating whether an officer's use of deadly physical force was "objectively reasonable" to include whether:
  - The person upon whom deadly physical force was used possessed or appeared to possess a deadly weapon (current law);
  - o (New) The officer engaged in reasonable de-escalation measures before using deadly physical force; and
  - o (New) Any of the officer's conduct led to an increased risk of the situation that led up to the use of such force.

The two new requirements - de-escalation measures and provocation - would be new elements that a police officer in a deadly force case would need to prove (it is unclear which party would have the burden of proof on these issues). It should be noted that the provocation doctrine, was explicitly rejected by the United States Supreme Court, <u>City and County of Los Angeles v. Mendez</u>, 137 S.Ct. 1539 (2017).

- Section 30 and 43: Requires a police officer that witnesses another officer use "unreasonable or illegal use of force" to intervene and attempt to stop the excessive force. In addition, requires particular reporting requirements for the witnessing officer.
- Sections 21-22: Prohibits consent searches of individuals and limits searches of motor vehicles stopped solely for motor vehicle violations.
- Sections 33-35 and 46: Creates a new Office of the Inspector General within the Division of Criminal Justice specifically to investigate and prosecute deadly police use-of-force incidents.
- Section 40: Prohibits state and local police from obtaining surplus military equipment (the specific types of equipment are prescribed in the bill and referenced in the FAQ section). In addition, allows the Governor's office or DESPP to require a municipality to sell, transfer or dispose of any prohibited equipment.
- Section 41: Establishes a new civil cause of action in state court against police officers who deprive an individual of equal protection or privileges and immunities of state law. By creating a cause of action against police officers in statute, the bill, in certain circumstances, eliminates the possibility of claiming governmental immunity. In particular, governmental immunity is not a defense (1) for actions solely seeking equitable relief and (2) in actions seeking damages, unless at the time of the conduct, the officer had an *objectively good faith belief* that their conduct did not violate the law. As this is a new which mirrors federal doctrine regarding qualified immunity, federal case law regarding qualified immunity will likely be used on this instructive on this issue even though not binding.
- Section 44: Requires law enforcement units to obtain accreditation from the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA) by 2025.

### Frequently Asked Questions

Below are some FAQ regarding provisions of HB 6004. Some expand upon the details that are outlined in the summary above.

> Do police officers or municipalities need to purchase individual liability insurance for officers?

<u>No.</u> The bill only requires the Police Accountability and Transparency Task Force to, among other things, <u>study</u> "the merits and feasibility of requiring a municipality to maintain professional liability insurance on behalf of its police officers". The Task Force will need review these and other proposals and report to the Judiciary Committee their recommendations by January 2021. Once complete, the Judiciary would need to consider them for possible legislative action.

### ➤ How does Section 41 affect the relationship between Resident State Troopers and their host municipalities?

Based on the recent contracts between the State and host municipalities for Resident State Trooper services, towns are generally removed from liability for any action of a state police officer within their jurisdiction.

### > How is "military equipment" defined:

The banned military style equipment includes:

- A controlled firearm, ammunition, bayonet, grenade launcher, grenade, including stun and flash-bang, or an explosive;
- A controlled vehicle, highly mobile multi-wheeled vehicle, mine resistant ambushprotected vehicle, truck, truck dump, truck utility, or truck carryall;
- An armored or weaponized drone;
- A controlled aircraft that is combat configured or combat coded or has no established commercial flight application;
- A silencer;
- Along-range acoustic device; or
- An item in the federal supply class of banned items.

# > What is the process for treatment for an officer after a mental health evaluation? What process will be established for their return to work? What will occur if an officer is not able to return to work?

The bill remains silent on several of the questions raised regarding the outcome of such assessments. Rather, the bill relies on POST to develop and implement written policies by January 1, 2021 on the outcome of the behavioral health assessments. At a minimum, these policies must address:

- Confidentiality of assessments, including compliance with the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA);
- Good faith reasons that law enforcement administrative leaders may rely upon when requesting that an officer undergo an additional assessment beyond those that are required;
- Availability of behavioral health treatment services for any police officers;
- The ability of an officer's ability to review and contest their assessments' results;
- Permissible personnel actions, if any, that law enforcement units may take based on the assessments' results, while considering the officers' due process rights; and
- Financial considerations that law enforcement units or police officers may incur due to the assessments.

### > What will happen if a municipality fails to obtain accreditation from the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA)?

While the bill does not impose direct penalties or consequences for failure to obtain accreditation, failure to do so would place the law enforcement agencies in non-compliance which could expose them to legal liability, as well may make them ineligible to obtain outside services or grants. Currently, there are 16 municipal police departments that are CALEA accredited.

### > Will towns or police departments be required to release personnel files of officers, including mental health records, upon a FOIA request?

There are no changes in regards to the current law and practice regarding municipal police departments reporting of personnel files through an FOIA request.

The bill requires only state police disciplinary records be subject to FOIA. This is done by prohibiting any collective bargaining agreement, either enacted or in the future, from including a provision that would prevent any disciplinary action contained in a sworn member's personnel file from being discussed under FOIA.

### > How does the bill address labor issues, specifically how does it address an officer that has been decertified or loses their certification?

Based on current law, an individual is prohibited from serving as a police officer if their certification has been canceled or revoked. The bill makes changes and expands the reasons for which an officer's certification may be revoked or suspended. As referenced above, these include (1) discriminatory conduct, (2) falsifying reports, (3) racial profiling in violation of state law, or (4) used excessive force or physical force found to be unjustified after investigation. (Current law already allows revocation of certification for improper use of a firearm that result in death or serious bodily injury).

The bill also allows POST to develop and issue written guidance to law enforcement units on grounds for certification suspension, cancellation, or revocation. The guidance may include, among other things, (1) reporting procedures that must be followed concerning these actions; (2) examples of discriminatory conduct and conduct that undermines public confidence in law enforcement; and (3) examples of misconduct while off-duty.

This bill does not address precedent that has been established by the State Labor Board or through arbitration.



# An Important Message for Our Members

Your Questions Answered: Bill No. 6004, An Act Concerning Police Accountability

monitors the external legal environment for the latest developments that may affect them. CIRMA provides Law Enforcement Liability insurance to 119 municipalities and 3,055 police officers across the state of Connecticut. To best serve our members, CIRMA continuously

A significant new law, Bill No. 6004, An Act Concerning Police Accountability, was recently liability. In particular, Section 41 of the bill creates a new civil cause of action against police officers for equal protection and constitutional violations. Although Section 41 will not become effective until July 1, 2021, we understand that our members and their police enacted and includes numerous changes to existing law regarding police oversight and officers may be concerned and have questions.

Below you'll find pertinent information pertaining to Section 41 of the Act and CIRMA's Law Enforcement Liability policy:

- Q. How will this new law affect existing CIRMA Law Enforcement Liability coverage?
- A. The newly-passed law does not go into effect until July 1, 2021. As it is written

today, if and when the law becomes effective, it <u>will not change</u> CIRMA's coverage in any way.

Q. Has this new law changed how CIRMA's Law Enforcement Liability policy will respond for coverage and defense for member municipalities and police officers?

A. No. The law has not changed CIRMA's Law Enforcement Liability coverage, including CIRMA's practices with respect to defending suits for its member municipalities and police officers. CIRMA vigorously defends its members through its partnerships with experienced attorneys who specialize in law enforcement liability litigation. This will not change.

Q. What should police officers do if they are served with a lawsuit under Section 41, but the Town/City is not a named defendant?

A. A copy of the summons and complaint should be immediately reported through the police department's chain of command and shared with CIRMA so that we may review the details of the complaint. Subject to the terms and conditions of CIRMA's policy, CIRMA will defend the member(s) and police officer(s) against whom the suit is brought.

Q. What if a police officer feels the need to purchase an individual liability insurance policy?

A. CIRMA is unaware of any insurance carrier that provides municipal employees (police officer, teacher, firefighter, EMT) coverage that is materially more expansive than

If a third-party offers a product purporting to provide such coverage, you are strongly advised to proceed with caution and conduct reasonable due diligence before purchasing a policy.

Q. What if a police officer is sued in his or her individual capacity, while on duty?

A. A copy of the summons and complaint should be immediately reported through the police department's chain of command and shared with CIRMA so that we may review the details of the complaint. Subject to the terms and conditions of CIRMA's policy, CIRMA will defend the member(s) and police officer(s) against whom the suit is brought.

CIRMA, our unvarying mission guides us—we remain committed to supporting our member In times of change, many often embrace their traditions, their ideals, and their values. At municipalities and their police officers when they need us most.

We will be sharing more information on specific sections of the legislation to help keep our member municipalities and police officers apprised of the latest developments so they can focus on what they do best-helping the communities they serve.

If you have questions pertaining to this matter, please reach out to Bruce Clinger, Liability Claim Program Manager, at 203.946.3749.

are not to be construed as actual policy language. This material does not amend, extend, or otherwise affect the provisions This material is intended for informational purposes only, and descriptions of insurance coverage are general in nature and loss, all relevant policy provisions and applicable law. Availability of any coverage referenced in this document depends on representation that coverage does or does not exist. Coverage depends on the facts and circumstances of each claim or or coverages of any insurance policy issued by Connecticut Interlocal Risk Management Agency (CIRMA), nor is it a underwriting qualifications and Connecticut State and Federal regulations.

© 2020 Connecticut Interlocal Risk Management Agency, All rights reserved. You are receiving this email because you are a member or affiliate of CIRMA.

Our mailing address is:

Connecticut Interlocal Risk Management Agency

545 Long Wharf Drive, 8th Floor

New Haven, CT 06511-5950

Add us to your address book



CIRMA + Our Members

Better Together
Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can update your preferences or unsubscribe from this list

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any accompanying attachments are confidential. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this e-mail communication by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me immediately by returning this message to the sender and delete all copies. Thank you for your cooperation.



**Item 4:** Discussion regarding a report on first installment tax collections for FY 2020-21.

Rick Darling, Finance Director.

Tax Collections week of 2019:	eek of 2019:			4	Tax Collections week of 2020:	k of 2020:						
Original Levy = 1st Half Install.	71,784,975 37,737,360				Original Levy = 1st Half Install.	71,967,265 37,820,893						
Week ending 5-Jul 12-Jul 19-Jul 26-Jul 6-Aug	non-escrow	2,824,099 4,770,754 3,368,252 2,267,089 8,589,572 14,207,437 37,027,203 non-escrow 22,819,766	Cumulative Cum.% of 1st % of levy half install 5.3% 10.1% 12.0% 15.28% 16.7% 31.7% 19.8% 37.7% 31.8% 60.5% 51.6% 98.1%		Week ending 10-Jul 17-Jul 24-Jul 31-Jul 11-Aug Total Escrow pmt. Totals	<b>y</b>		Cum.% of 1st   half install   Ta   10.0%     17.3%     26.6%     38.8%     58.2%     95.8%     95.8%     58.2%	m.% of 1st 3 Month half install Tax Deferment 10.0% 17.3% 25.898 26.6% 169.854 38.8% 78,384 58.2% 609,120 95.8% 609,120	Cumulative Cum.% of 1st   % of levy half install 0.4% 0.5% 0.9% 0.7% 1.4% 0.8% 1.6% 0.8% 1.6% 0.8% 1.6%	um.% of 1st   half install Applications 0.8% 0.9% 1.4% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 89	lications 29 5 36 113 6 6
	escrow accts.	14,207,437			escrow accts.	14,235,671	19.8%	37.6%				

Note: Escrow amounts reflect only those amounts received from the larger service providers; for purposes of the analysis, escrow payments from smaller banks are included as non-escrow.

**Item 5:** Discussion regarding the FY 2019-20 financial report and estimated fund balance.

Rick Darling, Finance Director.

### YEAR END FUND BALANCE FORECAST FY '19-20

CATEGORY		ORIG BUDG	REV. BUDG.	YR END PROJ. VA	R. TO REV.	Comments on variance from 5/29
REVENUE PROPERTY I	<b>TAXES</b>	72,120,537	72,120,537	72,312,704		\$50,000 better due to strong May and June activity.
	Positive variance due mainly to interest penalties (\$75k).	supplemental auto (\$1	.00k), and favorable resul	ts for delinquent taxes an	d	
DEPARTMEI	NTAL RECEIPTS  Comments: Investment income flat taken as a whole.	1,149,100 e expected to exceed b	1,149,100 udget by \$227k. All other	1,378,264 sources relatively	ŕ	\$168,280 better due to investment income, and strong real estate conveyance activity in May and June.
STATE REVE	NUE Comments: Town budgeted co	329,801 nservatively for state a	329,801 id.	663,355	333,554	No significant change.
	TOTAL REVENUE	73,599,438	73,599,438	74,354,323	754,885	
<b>EXPENDITU</b> GENERAL G	RES OVERNMENT Comments: Favorable results f (\$47k due to vacant Engineer p		6,607,496 52k), Worker's Comp and	6,360,541 LAP (\$72k), and Land Use	· · · · · ·	\$73,059 better due to Health Insurance (\$24k), Legal (\$16k) and Land Use (\$20k)
PUBLIC SAF	ETY  Comments: Previous deficit for COVID-19 cases; Communication made to a retiring Senior Dispa	ons Center realizing a \$2			for	\$40,077 better due to mainly to CIRMA approval of Police COVID-19 absences (\$33k).
PUBLIC WO	RKS Comments: Favorable operation forecasted deficit in Solid Wast than planned in the original bu	e (\$62k) due to hours o	2,613,829 v removal (\$104k) and roa of operation at the Transf	2,532,976 ad paving (\$51k) offset by er Station being more		No significant variance.
HEALTH, CU	JLTURE, RECREATION  Comments: Savings related mo and the middle school pool.	1,676,016 ostly due to Library Pari	1,728,880 t-time wages, reduced ex	1,707,567 penses for both field mair		\$7,900 better due to reduced bill back for BOE field maintenance.
	SUBTOTAL BOS BUDGET	13,452,745	13,880,471	13,553,507	326,964	
DEBT SERVI	ICE Comment: Slight surplus to du	5,786,554 e November refunding.	5,786,554	5,784,045	2,509	No variance.
BOARD OF	EDUCATION	53,073,710	53,073,710	* 52,481,710	592,000	Awaiting final numbers from the BOE.
CAPITAL OL	JTLAY Comment: \$228k over original	1,286,429 reflects supplemental	1,514,429 approp for Valley Forge r	1,514,429 econstruction.	<b>≔</b> ):	No variance
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	73,599,438	74,255,164	73,333,691	921,473	
REVENUE L	ESS EXPENDITURES	ŝ	(655,726)	1,020,632	1,676,358	
	7/1/19 BEGINNING UNASSIGN	ED FUND BALANCE:		14,552,699		
FUND BALA	ANCE FORECAST 6/30/20 PRIOR	TO TOWN MTG SUPP.		15,573,331		
	PUBLIC SAFETY COMPLEX Comment: Assumes transfer for	- om fund balance of ap	2,125,789 proved appropriations les	1,375,789 ss \$750k EMS funding com		No variance
FUND BALA	ANCE FORECAST 6/30/20 AFTER	PUBLIC SAFETY		14,197,542 19.1% of	FY '21 Budg	

### Town of Weston FY 2019-20 Budget Report

		2019-20	Additions,			Variance	Variance
	2018-19	Original	(Deletions),	Final Revised	Estimated	From Original	From Revised
	Actuals	Budget	Transfers	Budget	Actual	Budget	Budget
REVENUES							
TAX COLLECTIONS							
CURRENT TAXES	69,731,649	71,403,537		71,403,537	71,400,000	(3,537.00)	(3,537.00
BACK TAXES	840,665	500,000		500,000	600,000	100,000.00	100,000.00
INTEREST/PENALTIES	533,449	300,000		300,000	275,000	(25,000.00)	(25,000.00
SUPPLEMENTAL AUTO TAX	incl above	450,000		450,000	550,000	100,000.00	100,000.00
ELDERLY TAX RELIEF	(416,529)	(458,000)		(458,000)	(435,838)	22,162.00	22,162.00
FIRE/EMS ABATEMENT	(76,429)	- Long Control of Control of Control		(75,000)	(76,458)	(1,458.00)	(1,458.00
TOTAL TAX COLLECTIONS	70,612,805	72,120,537		72,120,537	72,312,704	192,167.00	192,167.00
DEPARTMENTAL RECEIPTS			-				
INVESTMENT INCOME	842,931	375,000	4	375,000	602,463	227,463.00	227,463.00
BUILDING DEPARTMENT	198,159	217,000		217,000	199,708	(17,292.00)	(17,292.00
TOWN CLERK	419,072	450,000		450,000	463,000	13,000.00	13,000.00
POLICE	1,485	4,000		4,000	4,853	853.00	853.00
ZBA HEARING FEES	864	1,000		1,000	746	(254.00)	(254.00
PLANNING AND ZONING	(6,199)	20,000	_	20,000	8,719	(11,281.00)	(11,281,00
CONSERVATION COMM.	9,646	8,000		8,000	6,034	(1,966.00)	(1,966.00
SELECTMAN'S OFFICE	578	600		600	504	(96.00)	(96.00
ASSESSOR COPIES	281	500		500	181	(319.00)	(319.00
PUBLIC LIBRARY	1,290			-		0.00	0.00
POLICE SPECIAL DUTY ADMIN FEES	12,199	15,000		15,000	12,850	(2,150.00)	(2,150.00
MISCELLANEOUS	9,208	5,000		5,000	30,000	25,000.00	25,000.00
MISCELLANEOUS BOE	70,083			-		0.00	0.00
SALE OF ASSETS (AUCTION)	7,5,000					0.00	0.00
TELECOMMUNICATIONS TAX	22,517	25,000		25,000	20,280	(4,720.00)	(4,720,00
IVY MOORE RENTAL	2,664	,,,,,,		1	2,106	2.106.00	2,106,00
ANIMAL CONTROL FEES	8,892	10,000		10,000	10,000	0.00	0.00
BOOSTER BARN REPAYMENT	18,730	18,000		18,000	16,820	(1,180.00)	(1,180.00
DEPARTMENTAL/MISC. RECEIPTS	1,612,400	1,149,100		1,149,100	1,378,264	229,164.00	229,164.00
STATE GRANTS						-	
ELDERLY TAX RELIEF LOCAL	570				1,461	1,461.00	1,461.00
GENERAL EDUCATION - ECS	301,341			197.	273,977	273,977.00	273,977.00
TOWN ROAD AID	251,600	251,968		251,968	251,619	(349.00)	(349.00
LOCIP	76,012	76,833		76,833	65,800	(11,033.00)	(11,033.00
OTHER/MISCELLANEOUS	994	1,000		1,000	317	(683.00)	(683.00
MUNICIPAL REVENUE SHARING						0.00	0.00
MUNICIPAL STABILIZATION GRANT	70,181				70,181	70,181.00	70,181.00
STATE GRANTS	700,698	329,801		329,801	663,355	333,554.00	333,554.00
TOTAL REVENUES	72,925,903	73,599,438	-	73,599,438	74,354,323	754,885.00	754,885.00

### Town of Weston FY 2019-20 Budget Report

		2019-20	Additions,			Variance	Variance
	2018-19	Original	(Deletions),	Final Revised	Estimated	From Original	From Revised
	Actuals	Budget	Transfers	Budget	Actual	Budget	Budget
EXPENDITURES							
GENERAL GOVERNMENT					200 000	0.477.000.00	40.000.00
Administration	596,441	877,932	(237,312)	640,620	630,000	247,932.00	10,620.00
General Administration				772-22	700 100	44 000 000	(4 000 00
Social Security	459,856	467,500		467,500	469,106	(1,606.00)	(1,606.00)
Pension	788,385	967,500		967,500	961,488	6,012,00	6,012.00
Health Insurance	2,153,907	2,202,425		2,202,425	2,150,000	52,425.00	52,425.00
Other Insurances	443,474	456,500		456,500	385,000	71,500.00	71,500.00
All Other	502,299	434,183		434,183	414,000	20,183.00	20,183.00
General Administration	4,347,921	4,528,108		4,528,108	4,379,594	148,514.00	148,514.00
Information Services	204,560	201,148	921	201,148	198,000	3,148.00	3,148,00
Probate Court	4,181	4,386		4,386	4,347	39.00	39.00
Elections/Registrars	63,570	72,998	1,228	74,226	54,000	18,998,00	20,226.00
Board of Finance	51,900	52,400		52,400	53,600	(1,200.00)	(1,200.00)
Assessor	142,882	146,059	6,579	152,638	154,000	(7,941.00)	(1,362.00)
Tax Collector	105,339	116,367	5,124	121,491	123,000	(6,633.00)	(1,509.00)
Legal	196,101	246,000		246,000	230,000	16,000.00	16,000.00
Town Clerk	161,955	152,997	6,673	159,670	154,000	(1,003.00)	5,670.00
Land Use	400,708	410,013	16,796	426,809	380,000	30.013.00	46,809.00
Total General Government	6,275,558	6,808,408	(200,912)	6,607,496	6,360,541	447,867.00	246,955.00
PART OF PARTY OF THE PARTY OF T	0,2,0,000	-,,555,165	12.0,0.0)	1,-21,1-3	1		
PUBLIC SAFETY							
Police Services							
Regular Wages	1,785,198	1,749,713	80,122	1,829,835	1,841,482	(91,769.00)	(11,647.00)
Overtime	163,239	190,000		190,000	178,441	11,559.00	11,559.00
All Other	226,288	181,753		181,753	185,000	(3,247.00)	(3,247.00)
	2,174,725	2,121,466	80,122	2,201,588	2,204,923	(83,457.00)	(3,335.00)
Police Services		256,300	00,722	256,300	255,000	1,300.00	1,300.00
Volunteer Fire Dept.	241,241		2,554		64,500	(2,171.00)	383.00
Fire Marshal	60,937	62,329		64,883	88,000		
Animal Control	85,628	86,640	3,317	89,957		(1,360.00)	
Communication Center	300,893	312,992	4,546	317,538	340,000	(27,008.00)	(22,462.00)
Total Public Safety	2,863,424	2,839,727	90,539	2,930,266	2,952,423	(112,696.00)	(22, 157.00)
92864969418829 000 000 000 000 000 000				4			
PUBLIC WORKS							
Public Works - Highway		N				100 100 000	100 010 00
Salaries	907,301	877,819	59,911	937,730	966,948	(89,129.00)	
Snow Removal Expenses	200,186	218,000		218,000	114,060	103,940.00	103,940.00
Road resurfacing	500,872	550,000	412,726	962,726	911,968	(361,968.00)	50,758.00
General Maintenance	100,820	98,650		98,650	90,000	8,650.00	8,650.00
All Other	302,639	301,053		301,053	293,000	8,053.00	8,053.00
Public Works - Highway	2,011,818	2,045,522	472,637	2,518,159	2,375,976	(330,454.00)	142,183.00
Tree Warden	52,207	77,500	:00	77,500	77,000	500.00	500.00
Solid Waste Disposal	113,683	5,572	12,598	18,170	80,000	(74,428.00)	(61,830.00)
Total Public Works	2,177,708	2,128,594	485,235	2,613,829	2,532,976	(404,382.00)	80,853.00
Total Public Works	2,111,100	2,120,004	100,200	2,010,020	2,000,000	133333333	
HEALTH, CULTURE & WELFARE							
Westport/Weston Health District	223,370	225,520		225,520	225,520	0.00	0.00
Emergency Med. Comm. Service	14,050	14,647		14,647	14,647	0.00	0.00
	136,987	140,400		140,400	140,400	0.00	0.00
Regional Paramedic Weston Water Utility	18,100	21,900	10,000	31,900	38,000	(16,100.00)	(6,100.00
	36,526	37,200	10,000	37,200	35,000	2,200.00	2,200.00
School/Town Water Supply			9 700	86,265	91,000	(8,444.00)	(4,735.00
Human Services	94,112	82,556	3,709				
Youth Services Department	24,190	26,140	3,977	30,117	50,000	(23,860.00)	
Commission for the Elderly	172,530	183,062	6,015	189,077	185,000	(1,938.00)	
Public Library	474,663	491,529	14,411	505,940	490,000	1,529.00	15,940.00
Recreation Department	446,925	453,062	14,752	467,814	438,000	15,062,00	29,814.00
Total Health, Culture & Welfare	1,641,453	1,676,016	52,864	1,728,880	1,707,567	(31,551.00)	21,313.00
TOTAL SELECTMANS BUDGET	12,958,143	13,452,745	427,726	13,880,471	13,553,507	(100,762.00)	326,964.00
Sald Sanda							
Debt Service	1,066,906	916,554		916,554	914,045	2,509.00	2,509.00
Interest		4,870,000		4,870,000	4,870,000	0.00	0.00
Principal Control	5,010,000	5,786,554		5,786,554	5,784,045	2,509	2,509
Debt Service	6,076,906	5,760,354		3,700,334	3,704,043	2,003	2,000
Board of Education	51,950,229	53,073,710		53,073,710	52,481,710	592,000.00	592,000.00
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Capital Outlay	1,077,777	1,286,429	228,000	1,514,429	1,514,429	(228,000.00)	0.00
Public Safety Project			2,125,789	2,125,789	1,375,789	(1,375,789.00)	750,000,00
rubiic Safety Project			2,120,109	2,120,103			
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	72,063,055	73,599,438	2,781,515	76,380,953	74,709,480	(1,110,042.00)	1,671,473.00

### Town of Weston FY 2019-20 Budget Report

		2019-20	Additions,			Variance	Variance
	2018-19	Original	(Deletions),	Final Revised	Estimated	From Original	From Revised
	Actuals	Budget	Transfers	Budget	Actual	Budget	Budget
FUND BALANCE ANALYSIS:	-						
FUND BALANCE 6/30/2018,2019	13,884,484	14,552,699		14,552,699	14,552,699		
TOTAL REVENUES	72,925,903	73,599,438		73,599,438	74,354,323	754,885.00	754,885.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	72,063,055	73,599,438	2,781,515	76,380,953	74,709,480	(1,110,042.00)	1,671,473.00
REVENUES MINUS EXPENDITURES	862,848	:•:	(2,781,515)	(2,781,515)	(355, 157)	(355,157.00)	2,426,358.00
SUBTOTAL	14,747,332	14,552,699	(2,781,515)	11,771,184	14,197,542		
PLUS/MINUS: GAAP ADJUSTMENTS							
Allowance for potential suppl. Approp.							
Adjustment to Committed Fund Bal.	(194,633)				y*.		
UNASSIGNED FUND BALANCE 6/30/18, 19	14,552,699	14,552,699			14,197,542	(355,157.00)	
NEXT YEAR APPROVED TOTAL BUDGET	73,599,438				74,506,496		
FUND BALANCE AS % OF NEXT YEAR BUDGET	19.8%				19.1%		

# Item 6

Discussion of a Five Year Forecast

Base Case

3-1EAN BUDGELANT FLAN FT 2021-2025							•					
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	APPROVED	FORECAST	FORECAST	FORECAST	FORECAST	FORECAST
Town Budget	12,101,393	12,408,752	12,812,036	12,766,865	13,128,919	13,452,745	13,710,997	13,985,217	14,264,921	14,550,220	14,841,224	15,138,049
Board of Education	47,364,856	48,503,782	50,080,155	49,907,522	51,594,716	53,073,710	54,161,443	55,244,672	56,349,565	57,476,557	58,626,088	59,798,609
Capital Budget	1,261,745	1,304,558	1,395,195	606,687	865,286	1,286,429	1,020,961	1,245,440	1,289,031	1,334,147	1,380,842	1,429,171
Town Capital	794,745	765,500	788,465	513,500	918,277	470,300	511,000	528,885	547,396	566,555	586,384	806,908
B.o.E. Capitaí	477,000	589,058	606,730	473,187	1,135,785	1,356,129	692,324	716,555	741,635	767,592	794,458	822,264
Total Debt Service	6,487,869	6,390,963	6,308,838	6,237,213	6,076,906	5,786,554	5,613,095	5,578,725	5,546,075	2,987,788	884,744	0
Debt Service Schools	5,795,739	5,708,789	5,544,718	5,481,203	5,338,819	5,085,571	4,938,985	4,914,300	4,885,375	2,686,088	874,544	0
Debt Service Town	692,130	682,174	764,120	756,010	738,087	700,983	674,110	664,425	660,700	301,700	10,200	0
Future Debt Service	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Debt Service as % of Exp.	%2"6	85.6	8.9%	%0'6	8.5%	7.9%	7.5%	7.3%	7.2%	3.9%	1.2%	%0'0
Gross Budget	67,215,863	68,608,055	70,596,224	69,518,287	71,665,827	73,599,438	74,506,496	76,054,054	77,449,592	76,348,711	75,732,898	76,365,830
Gross Budget % Increase		2.07%	2.90%	-1.53%	3.09%	2.70%	1.23%	2.08%	1.83%	-1.42%	-0.81%	0.84%
Less: Other Revenues	2,928,112	2,948,112	3,014,445	2,379,081	2,925,089	2,728,901	3,635,959	3,015,716	3,060,952	3,106,866	3,153,469	3,200,771
Net Budget	64,287,751	65,659,943	67,581,779	67,139,206	68,740,738	70,870,537	70,870,537	73,038,338	74,388,640	73,241,845	72,579,429	73,165,058
+	2,328,055,052 2,341,794,069 2,359,357,525 2,3	341,794,069 2	,359,357,525 2	72,542,054	2,386,710,996 2	2,234,268,538	2,234,268,538	2,247,674,149	2,261,160,194	2,274,727,155	2,320,221,698	2,334,143,029
Mill Rate	28.24	28.67	28.56	28.91	29.39	32.37	32.37	33.16	33.57	32.86	31.92	31.99
Mill Rate % Increase	18.21%	1.52%	-0.38%	1.23%	1.66%	10,14%	%00"0	2.44%	1.24%	-2,11%	-2,86%	0.22%
Taxes-\$100,000 assessment increments	2,824.00	2,867.00	2,856.00	2,891.00	2,939.00	3,237.00	3,237.00	3,316,00	3,357,00	3,286.00	3,192,00	3,199,00
Tax \$ Increase per \$100,000 assessed	435,00	43.00	-11,00	35.00	48.00	298.00	0.00	79.00	41.00	-71.00	-94,00	7.00
% Growth in Debt Service	-1.02%	-1.49%	-1.29%	-1.14%	-2.57%	-4.78%	-3.00%	-0.61%	-0.59%	-46.13%	-70.39%	-100 00%
% Growth in Total Net Budget	3.54%	2.13%	2.93%	-0.65%	2.39%	3.10%	%00"0	3.06%	1,85%	-1.54%	%06'0-	0.81%
\$ Impact to change mill rate by .01	22,765	22,902	23,663	23,224	23,389	21,894	21,894	22,026	22,159	22,289	22,738	22,871
Impact to increase mill rate by 1%.	642,979	656,622	659,008	670,812	686,022	707,322	707,322	728,932	742,372	731,031	724,321	730,265
Historical/Assumptions:	9	0	č	3	6	į			,			
% Growth in BoE Budget	3.93%	2.54%	3.25%	-0.35% -0.34%	2.84%	2.47%	1.92%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
% Growth in Town Capital	8.32%	-3.68%	3.00%	-34.87%	42.62%	48.67%	-20.64%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%
% Growth in BoE Capital % Growth in Grand List	20.76% -12.40%	23.49% 0.59%	3.00%	-22.01% 0.56%	78.83%	3.10%	-48.95%	3.50%	3,50%	3.50%	3,50%	3.50%
											2007	0000

# votes:

Other Revenues - includes \$684,810 utilization of general fund balance for FY20/21'; 1.5% increases after FY20/21 (excluding fund balance usage)
Mill rate includes allowances for exemptions, abatements and reserve for uncollected taxes. Collection budgeted at 98% beginning in 2019-20 and going forward.
Future Debt Service - projects debt issuance impacts for both planned and unforeseen large projects.
Full revaluations in 2014-15, 2019-20 and 2024-25.

### Weston Property Transfers (FY2020/21)

			Assessment		Difference
Location	Trans Date	Sale Price	(2019 Grand List)	Mkt Value	(Sale - Mkt Value)
9 Partridge Lane	July 2020	\$950,000	883,100	1,261,571	(\$311,571)
66 Wells Hill Road	July 2020	\$789,000	710,000	1,014,286	(\$225,286)
31 Singing Oaks Drive	July 2020	\$1,729,000	1,466,840	2,095,486	(\$366,486)
17 Steep Hill Road	July 2020	\$520,000	431,260	616,086	(\$96,086)
15 Wood Hill Road	July 2020	\$610,000	343,460	490,657	\$119,343
34 Tall Pines Drive	July 2020	\$1,340,000	915,090	1,307,271	\$32,729
15 Trails End Road	July 2020	\$630,000	458,910	655,586	(\$25,586)
94 Catbrier Road	July 2020	\$710,000	555,960	794,229	(\$84,229)
7 Tannery Lane South	July 2020	\$650,000	463,740	662,486	(\$12,486)
33 Merry Lane	July 2020	\$735,000	412,080	588,686	\$146,314
43 Old Easton Tpk.	July 2020	\$585,000	498,970	712,814	(\$127,814)
195 Steep Hill Road	July 2020	\$640,000	460,420	657,743	(\$17,743)
21 Little Fox Lane	July 2020	\$675,000	392,430	560,614	\$114,386
5 Godfrey Road West	July 2020	\$530,000	502,080	717,257	(\$187,257)
34A Georgetown Road	July 2020	\$375,000	165,490	236,414	\$138,586
88 Godfrey Road	July 2020	\$1,055,036	867,830	1,239,757	(\$184,721)
22 Lakeside Drive	July 2020	\$730,000	455,910	651,300	\$78,700
8 Graystone Lane	July 2020	\$509,000	333,130	475,900	\$33,100
81 Blue Spruce Circle	July 2020	\$515,000	352,510	503,586	\$11,414
1 Aspetuck Hill Lane	July 2020	\$745,000	719,060	1,027,229	(\$282,229)
Pink Cloud Lane	July 2020	\$280,560	854,470	1,220,671	(\$940,111)
52 Ridge Road	July 2020	\$790,000	516,990	738,557	\$51,443
O Singing Oaks Drive	July 2020	\$960,000	1,044,760	1,492,514	(\$532,514)
21 Brierbrook Lane	July 2020	\$882,500	477,890	682,700	\$199,800
28 Davis Hill Road	July 2020	\$682,000	429,540	613,629	\$68,371
21 Twin Walls Lane	July 2020	\$1,670,000	1,399,220	1,998,886	(\$328,886)
17 Blueberry Hill Road	July 2020	\$665,000	502,540	717,914	(\$52,914)
18 Cartbridge Road	July 2020	\$567,000	350,030	500,043	\$66,957
29 Soundview Farm Road	July 2020	\$1,030,000	781,410		
9 Cartbridge Road	July 2020 July 2020	\$750,000	356,610	1,116,300	(\$86,300)
L3 Riverfield Drive	July 2020 July 2020		· ·	509,443	\$240,557
		\$967,700	591,450	844,929	\$122,771
22 Rogues Ridge 5 Maple Street	July 2020	\$1,100,000	594,250	848,929	\$251,071
<u> </u>	July 2020	\$545,000	341,250	487,500	\$57,500
5 River Road 35 Cardinal Road	July 2020	\$800,000	547,900	782,714	\$17,286
	July 2020	\$660,000	365,880	522,686	\$137,314
12 Michaels Way	July 2020	\$1,585,000	1,486,320	2,123,314	(\$538,314)
15 Tobacco Road	July 2020	\$1,125,000	679,450	970,643	\$154,357
Twin Oak Lane	July 2020	\$780,000	943,680	1,348,114	(\$568,114)
.1 Trails End Road	July 2020	\$800,000	432,800	618,286	\$181,714
.46 Steep Hill Road	July 2020	\$879,000	593,600	848,000	\$31,000
Charles Path	July 2020	\$1,025,000	796,640	1,138,057	(\$113,057)
95 Steep Hill Road	July 2020	\$1,135,000	744,020	1,062,886	\$72,114
126 Godfrey Road	July 2020	\$495,000	334,440	477,771	\$17,229
L6 Fanton Hill Road	July 2020	\$827,000	689,740	985,343	(\$158,343)
	Total	\$36,022,796	27,243,150	38,918,786	(\$2,895,989)

#### Summary

21 properties above Mkt Value 23 properties below Mkt Value 3 properties below Assessed Value Ave Sales Price (this month) Ave Sales Price (YTD)

**Net Change in Grand List** 

\$818,700 \$818,700

8,700 **(\$2,027,193)**  July -7.4% FYTD (\$2,027,193)



# Item 7

Discussion /decision regarding approval of minutes from the June 11<sup>th</sup> regular meeting.

# Board of Finance Regular Meeting Minutes June 11, 2020 at 6:00pm (via remote conference)

In attendance: Chairman Steve Ezzes, Members Dick Bochinski, Rone Baldwin, Amy Gare and Jeff Far. Greg Murphy and Allan Grauberd were not present. Finance Director Rick Darling, Tax Collector Cathleen Neblett, Town Administrator Jonathan Luiz.

- 1- Discussion/decision regarding approval of the Tax Collector's suspense list. Cathleen Neblett, Tax Collector Ms. Neblett presented the suspense list to move taxes that are deemed uncollectable, according to state statute. Mr. Ezzes asked to provide more information about the accounting impact that the suspense list and delinquent taxes have on town financials. Mr. Baldwin moved to approve the Tax Collector's suspense list. Mr.Farr seconded. Motion carried unanimously.
- 2- Discussion/decision regarding setting the discount rate for the July 1, 2019 OPEB actuarial valuation study. Rick Darling, Finance Director Mr. Darling reviewed different scenarios. He also provided information on neighboring towns and their OPEB rates. Mr. Farr moved to set the discount rate of 5 ½ percent. Mr. Baldwin seconded. Motion passed unanimously.
- 3- Discussion/decision regarding providing a recommendation to the Board of Selectmen to institute an expenditure freeze on fiscal year 2020-21 capital projects. The BOF reviewed each item on the capital expenditure list. The board discussed items that could be deferred and how state aid would be affected. Authority over the BOS and BOE budget was also discussed and it was agreed the BOF can only make recommendations. Ms. Gare said she does not feel it is in the purview of the BOF to make this recommendation. Mr. Baldwin disagreed. Mr. Baldwin moved to recommend that the BOS defer significant paving expenditures and all cash capital expenditures until the spring or date that we have a better sense of how tax collection is progressing this year as well as incremental costs that may be faced by the Town and BOE associated with COVID. Mr. Farr seconded. Motion passed 3:1 with Ms. Gare opposing and Dick Bochinski abstaining.
- 4- Discussion regarding the Town's ten year budget and mill rate forecast: The ten year forecast was reviewed. Chairman Ezzes recommends a sensitivity analysis and feels a 5 year forecast would be more rational. Budget process and planning was discussed.
- 5- Discussion regarding tax collecting progress reporting to the Board of Finance, and cash flow projections by month and subsequent reporting of actual results. Mr. Baldwin stated he would want to know how many people take advantage of the deferment program and how do collections compare to last year. Ms. Gare added she would like a tutorial on our tax collection efforts. Mr. Luiz stated he can provide this update at the July BOF meeting.



- 6- Discussion regarding an update on the Town's investment income and portfolio. Rick Darling, Finance Director. Mr. Darling provided an update on investments. He expressed that it is good news considering the Covid-19 impact to the economy.
- 7- Discussion/decision regarding approval of minutes from the May 7<sup>th</sup> and May 21<sup>st</sup> special meetings (tabled from June 4<sup>th</sup>) Mr. Darling added he did not make changes to the May 7<sup>th</sup> minutes but did make edits to the May 21<sup>st</sup> minutes Mr. Bochinski moved to approve the May 7<sup>th</sup> and May 21<sup>st</sup> minutes as submitted. Mr. Farr seconded. Ms. Gare stated the corrections requested were not made on the May 21<sup>st</sup> minutes. The vote taken on the May 2<sup>nd</sup> minutes did not pass unanimously as Ms. Gare stated she was opposed. Motion passed unanimously with that correction.
- 8- **Motion to adjourn** Mr. Bochinski moved to adjourn. Mr. Farr seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Minutes submitted by: Randi Derene, Administrative Assistant