For Discussion Purposes Only **Current Debt Ratios for Peer Towns Current Debt Ratios (FYE 2022) FYE 2022** Debt Service as % of **Unassigned Fund Population Credit Rating** Debt as % of **General Fund** Debt per Balance as % of 2022 Town 2024 **Net Grand List Expenditures** Capita **Expenditures** Comments Surrounding Darien 21,926 Aaa Moody's 1.0% 5.82% \$3,918 16.04% 7,630 Easton AAA S&P 0.9% \$1,516 6.25% 15.18% New Canaan 20,775 Aaa Moody's 1.5% 11% \$5,444 12.12% Redding 8,746 AAA S&P 1.9% \$3,321 6.02% 23.27% Aaa Moody's Ridgefield 25,007 AAA S&P 0.8% 6.03% \$1,607 9.54% 10,354 Aaa Moody's Weston 0.4% 6.76% \$926 22.83% 27,427 Westport Aaa Moody's 6.70% 1.0% \$4,059 14.02% Wilton 18,457 Aaa Moody's 1.7% 7.29% \$3,896 9.84% **Fairfield Cty** Aaa Moody's Fairfield 62,871 AAA S&P 1.7% 7.35% \$3,059 11.21% Aaa Moody's Greenwich 63,638 AAA S&P 0.4% 10.09% \$2,293 8.20% Aaa Moody's Norwalk 91,401 AAA S&P 2.1% 8.64% \$3,440 19.47%

For Discussion Purposes Only Aa1 Moody's Stamford AAA S&P 136,188 1.8% 9.0% \$2,942 3.49% Other Aaa Aaa Moody's Avon 18,871 AAA S&P 0.6% 3.0% \$796 13.35% Aaa Moody's Farmington 26,728 AAA S&P 2.8% 7.03% \$3,960 16.06% Aaa Moody's Glastonbury 35,199 AAA S&P 1.2% \$1,416 4.22% 18.06% Madison 17,565 Aaa Moody's 0.6% \$1,021 4.86% 22.50% Aaa Moody's Simsbury AAA S&P 24,935 1.6% 6.81% \$1,664 16.67% Woodbridge 9,051 Aaa Moody's 1.9% 4.28% \$2,332 16.11% CT State Average \$2,933 \$1,820 CT State Median

DRAFT For Discussion Purposes Only

Weston Debt History

Fiscal Year	8 5 W Banks	Fundand tips Annal size	Banchelor	lana wasan maka				- t- (e.e.	Debt Service/	0-1-10	duba and di Si
	Mili Rate	Equalized Net Grand List		Long Term Debt	Debt Service	Adjusted Tax Levy	Expenditures	Debt/ENGL	Expenditures	Debt/Expenditures	Debt per Capit
2003	23,30	\$3,074,223,817	10,23		\$2,091,406	\$40,889,080	542,492,794	0.91%	4.9%	65.6%5	
2004	25.00	\$3,356,869,508	10,26		\$3,128,718	\$44,257,625	\$45,525,016	1.59%	6.9%	124.3%\$	
2005	20.25	53,367,091,901	10,27		\$3,975,082	\$47,407,915	\$47,990,099	2.31%	8.3%	162.4965	
2006	22.05	\$3,974,105,477	10,27		\$6,508,093	\$52,604,945	\$55,590,456	1.57%	11.7%	134.0%\$	
2007	22.75	54,183,066,001	10,20		\$6,649,617	\$55,620,516	\$59,057,498	1.84%	11.3%	130.3%5	
2008	23.39	\$4,183,066,369	10,18		\$7,499,930	\$58,515,726	562,281,925	1.73%	12.0%	116.5%\$	
2009	24.05	\$3,934,316,145	10,19	9 \$58,122,590	\$7,725,899	\$61,367,866	\$65,983,870	1,73%	11.7%	103.2%5	
2010	23.61	\$3,740,858,564	10,17	9 \$65,105,000	57,202,795	561,476,303	\$65,283,459	1.74%	11.0%	99,7%5	5,35
2011	23.86	53,522,242,540	10,28	1 560,858,311	57,132,769	562,192,699	\$67,765,801	1.73%	10.5%	89.8%5	5,9
2012	23.93	\$3,614,143,912	10,35	0 \$56,732,417	\$6,748,200	\$62,936,877	568,499,640	1.57%	9.9%	82.8%5	5,45
2013	24.02	\$3,423,962,578	10,37	2 \$52,283,007	\$6,772,976	563,543,227	568,553,204	1.53%	9,9%	76.3%5	5,04
2014	23,89	\$5,587,402,584	10,38	\$48,158,537	\$6,554,632	\$63,422,136	569,483,459	1,34%	9.4%	69.3%\$	4,63
2015	28,24	\$3,325,816,654	10,38	7 \$43,672,273	\$6,487,869	\$65,727,856	\$71,968,039	1.31%	9.0%	60.7%\$	4,20
2016	Z5. 5 7	53,584,903,135	10,30	2 539,420,291	\$6,390,963	\$67,168,117	575,787,441	1.10%	5,4%	52.0%5	3,8
2017	25.56	\$3,542,264,876	10,33	1 534,865,934	56,308,838	\$67,306,771	\$79,319,717	0.98%	8.0%	44.0%5	3,3
2018	28.91	\$3,399,888,205	10,24	7 \$29,858,780	56,237,213	568,506,047	\$80,883,353	0.88%	7.7%	36.9%5	2,9
2019	29.39	\$3,418,855,302	10,25	2 \$24,907,461	\$5,184,602	570,111,688	575,429,469	0.73%	8.1%	32.6%5	2,4
2020	32.37	\$3,197,754,780	10,36	0 \$19,570,000	\$5,784,043	\$72,226,125	583, 195, 991	0.61%	7.0%	23.5%\$	1,5
2021	32,37	53,184,290,535	10,33	9 514,630,000	55,613,095	572,370,713	580,539,752	0.45%	7.0%	18.2%5	1,4:
2022	32,92	\$4,031,034,032		\$9,590,000	55,524,340		\$84,532,270	0,24%	6.5%	11.3%	
20232	32.97	\$4,497,559,709		\$4,330,000	\$5,531,395		587,028,972	0.10%	6.4%	5.0%	
2024P	33.10	\$4,700,336,364		51,490,000	\$2,957,825		\$84,689,234	0.03%	3.5%	1.5%	
2025P	23,47	\$4,619,868,549		\$680,000	\$864,250		579,234,423		1.1%	Q.9%	
								-	and another		
								Debt/ENGL	Debt Service/ Expenditures	Debt/Expenditures	Debt per Capi
						Max – 5 Year Average		2.01%	11.7%	142.2%	7,4
						Max		2.31%	12.0%	162.4%5	7,5
						Implied Debt Levels			K .		
						- Max - 3 Year Averag	*	592,840,974	\$85,842,464	5112,707,0025	77,157,14
						- Max		\$106,933,811	\$88,576,134	\$128,677,5115	78,414,22
						Implied Debt Service/De	bt	0.107719012			

Weston Board of Finance Debt Management Policy Sub-Committee Special Meeting Meeting held remotely October 21, 6:00PM

BOF Vice Chairman Jeffrey Farr called the meeting to order at 6:00pm. Attendees were Chris Bryant and Jeffrey Goldstein.

Discussion with Karl Kilduff, Town Administrator. Mr. Kilduff was not available during scheduled meeting time. Will be rescheduled.

Discussion of debt management policies reviewed to date:

Mr. Farr opened the meeting with an update that Mark Chapman, Munistat provided some additional town's debt management policies for review. Information was uploaded on the summary sheet for all to review. Mr. Farr noted that none of the credit ratings changed for any of the towns, but they are data points for use within a debt management policy. Mr. Bryant discussed some of the towns that stood out mentioning there were alot of similarities when reviewing GFOA best practices, most had two metrics in them, debt service as a percentage of general fund expenditures and debt as a percentage of one of 4-5 different metrics around the grand list. He did not see any that had a debt per capita, a few had a range of metrics that they wanted their BOF to track but they were not part of their policy. Mr. Bryant drafted a sample policy to potentially use with a placeholder for metrics that are decided on. Mr. Goldstein found debt service between 8-10%. The outlier that was noted was Greenwich -(metric net debt) not to exceed .55% of the grand list at full market value. Mr. Farr discussed definitions of debt as a percentage of equalized grand list (full market value) and debt service as a percent of total expenditures. Mr. Farr noted that some of these towns have very different grand list components than Weston. Equalized net grand list across the state discussed, each town revaluation dates are different. Policy discussion continued with:

- Debt as a percentage of grand list
- Debt as a percentage of budget
- Possibly look at debt per capita

The first two metrics should be defined in the policy.

Subcommittee discussed that when reviewing other towns debt policies, they used additional factors when looking at a bond offering such as forecasting impacts (debt per capita) or 5 year look back, what the average was over those 5 years and additional scrutiny with preparing for bond issuance. Mr. Bryant noted these were not policy regulations they were suggested monitoring tools. Further discussion on creating policy vs. creating guidelines. Subcommittee will look at incorporating guidelines with policy. GFOA template to model. Discussed having another 1-2 meetings and vote on the metrics to include and if agreed to include guideline policy as well.

Discussion of table of fiscal metrics:

• Discussion of information reviewed to zero in and agree on debt management metrics to use. Will discuss what is the ratio? Is it 3%, 4% is it 2 ½ for debt as a percent of the

- grand list? What is the percentage for debt service as a percent of expenditures? Mark Chapman felt 10% was a good number to stay below.
- Percentage of grand list need more analysis before next meeting because there are four different divisors which will give a different number. Mr. Farr discussed grand list as being an area where our town differs from surrounding towns.
- Will compile metrics and then discuss why they should be less or more based on the
 circumstances we have and try to make a decision based on it. Mr. Bryant would like to
 hear another opinion how that difference might impact us from a debt management
 standpoint. Mr. Farr feels the Debt Capacity subcommittee would be in a position to
 look at what the impacts would be. Mr. Farr not in agreement with giving a specific
 percentage but would be comfortable with a range and would like feedback from debt
 capacity sub for analysis

Discussion on identifying questions for further research

- Future discussion around whether to allow variable rate types of instruments or use a fixed rate, general debt limitations etc.
- What are the maturities and how quickly to pay.
- Principle amounts to be paid down?
- Funding capital expenditures vs. having to wait for 20 years for debt to fall off before future capital expenditures.
- Straight line amortization.
- Process to issue debt needs to be looked at. Karl Kilduff may have a view on this.
- Fiduciary requirements of reporting each year.

Discussion/Decision on approval of minutes from the September 30th and October 7th meetings. Amended September 30th minutes, correction of special meeting dates and notation incorporating Mr. Bryant's comment relating to comparing other towns using comparable town's criteria. October 7th minutes reviewed. Motion made to approve September 30, 2024 and October 7, 2024 Debt Management subcommittee minutes. Motion made by Mr. Goldstein, seconded by Mr. Bryant. All in favor, motion passes unanimously.

Discussion/Decision on Agenda for next Special Subcommittee Meeting of 10/28:

- Invite Karl Kilduff, Town Administrator
- Discussion/Decision on table of fiscal metrics
- Discussion/Decision on policy layout/outline
- Discussion regarding debt maturity
- Discussion/Decision on Agenda for next Special Subcommittee Meeting, 10/28.

Motion to accept 10/28/24 meeting agenda made by Mr. Bryant, seconded by Mr. Goldstein. All in favor, motion passes unanimously.

Adjourn: Motion to adjourn by Mr. Goldstein, seconded by Mr. Bryant. All in favor, meeting adjourned at 6:41pm.

Respectfully Submitted, Shawn Amato, Recording Secretary

Weston Board of Finance Debt Management Policy Sub-Committee Special Meeting October 28, 6:00PM

BOF Vice Chairman, Jeffrey Farr called the meeting to order at 6:00pm. Attendees were Chris Bryant and Jeffrey Goldstein.

Discussion with Karl Kilduff, Town Administrator. The subcommittee asked for input on the primary components of a debt management policy. General criteria for debt issuance/bonding discussed. Things to look at would be debt per capita, long-term committed expenses (pensions) as a percentage of budget for must pays. GFOA benchmark standards for fund balances should be reviewed. Some towns look at basic demographics, per capita income, median household income. Debt as a percentage of the grand list discussed. Lease options discussed to add to policy, to give flexibility for purchases. Mr. Farr asked questions on structuring of bonds for debt maturity, pay down principles. Process of issuing debt discussed, approval process with BOS then BOF, and then Town Meeting. Disclosure requirements discussed.

Discussion/decision regarding table of fiscal metrics. Decision on specific ratio metrics will not be decided at this meeting, discussion will include what might be included in the policy. Sample draft policy by Mr. Bryant reviewed with common items to include. Purpose, objectives, types of debt to be reviewed. Discussion on total debt as a percent of the equalized grand list as opposed to assessed value was discussed. Policy vs guidelines discussed, debt limitations, debt issuance and structure, maximum maturity, refinancing all discussed.

Agreement on three metrics for policy under Debt Affordability Guidelines

- 1. Debt service as a percentage of budget.
- 2. Debt to taxable net grand list value ratio.
- 3. Paydown schedule Include a minimum paydown rate (GFOA good practices)

Discussion/decision regarding policy layout/outline.

- Why is debt important
- Objectives
- Types of debt
- Use of debt
- Disclosure

Will review Mr. Bryant's draft and discuss/make changes for next meeting. Draft of metrics to be sent to Debt Capacity committee for review.

Discussion regarding debt maturity

Most policies in other towns include pay off atleast 50% of debt within 10 years. Subcommittee agreed with this.

Discussion/Decision on Agenda for next Special Subcommittee Meeting of 11/4/24

Decision/Continued discussion around debt as a percent of the budget. Decision/Discussion Debt to the net grand list. Decision/Continued discussion on content for policy. Approval of Special subcommittee minutes for 10/21, 10/28.

Adjourn. Motion to adjourn by Mr. Bryant, seconded by Mr. Goldstein. Meeting adjourned at 7:12pm.

Respectfully Submitted, Shawn Amato, Recording Secretary